

# SEARCH BOUNDS FOR ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS OVER THE ALGEBRAIC CLOSURE OF $\mathbb{Q}$

LENNY FUKSHANSKY

ABSTRACT. We discuss existence of explicit search bounds for zeros of polynomials with coefficients in a number field. Our main result is a theorem about the existence of polynomial zeros of small height over the field of algebraic numbers outside of unions of subspaces. All bounds on the height are explicit.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  be a collection of nonzero polynomials in  $N$  variables of respective degrees  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  with coefficients in a number field  $K$  of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Consider a system of equations

$$(1) \quad F_1(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \dots = F_k(X_1, \dots, X_N) = 0.$$

There are two fundamental questions one can ask about this system: does (1) have nonzero solutions over  $K$ , and, if yes, how do we find them? In [8], D. W. Masser poses these general questions for a system of equations with integer coefficients, and suggests an alternative approach to both of them simultaneously by introducing *search bounds* for solutions. We start by generalizing this approach over  $K$ .

We write  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  for the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and write  $\mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N)$  for the projective space over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$ . If  $H$  is a height function defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , then by Northcott's theorem [10] a set of the form

$$(2) \quad S_D(C) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N) : H(\mathbf{x}) \leq C, \deg(\mathbf{x}) \leq D\}$$

has finite cardinality for any  $C, D \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\deg(\mathbf{x})$  is degree of the field extension generated by the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose that we were able to prove that if (1) has a nonzero solution  $\mathbf{x} \in K^N$ , then it has such a solution with  $H(\mathbf{x}) \leq C$  for some explicit  $C$ . This means that we can restrict the search for a solution to a subset of the finite set  $S_d(C)$  as in (2). We will call a constant  $C$  like this a *search bound* for (1). If a search bound like this exists, it will clearly depend on heights of polynomials  $F_1, \dots, F_k$ . As in [8], we can now replace the two questions above by the following problem.

**Problem 1.** *Find an explicit search bound for a nonzero solution of (1) over  $K$ .*

This problem has been solved for arbitrary  $N$  only in very few cases. First suppose that  $k < N$ , and  $M_1 = \dots = M_k = 1$ . If  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are homogeneous, a solution to Problem 1 is provided by Siegel's Lemma (see [2]). In the case when  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are inhomogeneous linear polynomials, this problem has been solved in [11]. Another instance of (1) for which the general solution to Problem 1 is known

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G50, 11E76; Secondary 11D72, 14G40.  
*Key words and phrases.* polynomials, height, search bounds.

is that of one quadratic polynomial. If  $k = 1$ ,  $M_1 = 2$ , and  $F_1$  is a quadratic form in  $N \geq 2$  variables with coefficients in  $K$ , a solution to Problem 1 is presented in [3] in case  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , and generalized to an arbitrary number field in [13]. If  $F_1$  is an inhomogeneous quadratic polynomial, a general solution to Problem 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  can be found in [7], and its generalization to an arbitrary number field in [5]. For a review of further advances in this subject and a detailed bibliography, see [8].

A general solution to Problem 1 even for one polynomial of arbitrary degree in an arbitrary number of variables seems to be completely out of reach at the present time. In fact, if  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are homogeneous, a solution to Problem 1 would provide an algorithm to decide whether a system of homogeneous Diophantine equations has an integral solution, and so would imply a positive answer to Hilbert's 10th problem in this case. However, by the famous theorem of Matijasevich [9] Hilbert's 10th problem is undecidable. This means that in general search bounds do not exist over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; in fact, they are unlikely to exist over any fixed number field. Moreover, it is known they do not exist over  $\mathbb{Q}$  even for a single quartic polynomial or for a system of quadratics (see [8] for details).

In this paper we deal with the case of a single polynomial. Let us relax the condition that a solution must lie over a fixed number field  $K$ , but instead search for a solution of bounded height and bounded degree over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In other words, given an equation of the form

$$F(X_1, \dots, X_N) = 0,$$

we want to prove the existence of a nonzero solution  $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  such that  $H(\mathbf{x}) \leq C$  and  $\deg_K(\mathbf{x}) \leq D$  for explicit constants  $C$  and  $D$ , where  $\deg_K(\mathbf{x})$  stands for the degree of the field extension over  $K$  generated by the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$ . This problem is easily tractable as we will show in section 3, and still provides an explicit search bound since the set  $S_D(C)$  is finite. In fact, we can prove a stronger statement by requiring the point  $\mathbf{x}$  in question to satisfy some additional arithmetic conditions. Write  $\mathbb{G}_m^N$  for the multiplicative torus  $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times)^N$ . Here is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  be a homogeneous polynomial in  $N \geq 2$  variables of degree  $M \geq 1$  over a number field  $K$ , and let  $A \in GL_N(K)$ . Then either there exists  $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{x} \in K^N$  such that  $F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and*

$$(3) \quad H(\mathbf{x}) \leq H(A),$$

*or there exists  $\mathbf{x} \in A\mathbb{G}_m^N$  with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{x}) \leq M$  such that  $F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , and*

$$(4) \quad H(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_1(N, M)H(A)^2H(F)^{1/M},$$

*where*

$$(5) \quad C_1(N, M) = 2^{N-1} \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{(4M+1)(N-2)}{2M}} \binom{M+N}{N}^{\frac{1}{2M}} \prod_{j=2}^N \binom{M+j-2}{j-2}^{\frac{1}{2M}}.$$

In other words, Theorem 1.1 asserts that for each element  $A$  of  $GL_N(K)$  either there exists a zero of  $F$  over  $K$  whose height is bounded by  $H(A)$ , or there exists a small-height zero of  $F$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  which lies outside of the union of nullspaces of row vectors of  $A^{-1}$ ; for instance, if  $A = I_N$  this means that there exists a small-height zero of  $F$  with all coordinates non-zero.

Notice that our approach of searching for small-height polynomial zeros over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is analogous in spirit to the so called ‘‘absolute’’ results, like the absolute Siegel's

Lemma of Roy and Thunder, [14]. The difference however is that we also keep a bound on the degree of a solution over the base field  $K$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we set the notation and introduce the height functions that we will use. In section 3 we talk about the basic search bounds for zeros of a given polynomial over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. Results of this paper also appear as a part of [4].

## 2. NOTATION AND HEIGHTS

We start with some notation. Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $O_K$  its ring of integers, and  $M(K)$  its set of places. For each place  $v \in M(K)$  we write  $K_v$  for the completion of  $K$  at  $v$  and let  $d_v = [K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$  be the local degree of  $K$  at  $v$ , so that for each  $u \in M(\mathbb{Q})$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{v \in M(K), v|u} d_v = d.$$

For each place  $v \in M(K)$  we define the absolute value  $\|\cdot\|_v$  to be the unique absolute value on  $K_v$  that extends either the usual absolute value on  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  if  $v|\infty$ , or the usual  $p$ -adic absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  if  $v|p$ , where  $p$  is a prime. We also define the second absolute value  $|\cdot|_v$  for each place  $v$  by  $|a|_v = \|a\|_v^{d_v/d}$  for all  $a \in K$ . Then for each non-zero  $a \in K$  the *product formula* reads

$$(7) \quad \prod_{v \in M(K)} |a|_v = 1.$$

For each  $v \in M(K)$  define a local height  $H_v$  on  $K_v^N$  by

$$H_v(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |x_i|_v & \text{if } v \nmid \infty \\ \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_i\|_v^2 \right)^{d_v/2d} & \text{if } v|\infty \end{cases}$$

for each  $\mathbf{x} \in K_v^N$ . We define the following global height function on  $K^N$ :

$$(8) \quad H(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{v \in M(K)} H_v(\mathbf{x}),$$

for each  $\mathbf{x} \in K^N$ . Notice that due to the normalizing exponent  $1/d$ , our global height function is absolute, i.e. for points over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  its value does not depend on the field of definition. This means that if  $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  then  $H(\mathbf{x})$  can be evaluated over any number field containing the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

We also define a height function on algebraic numbers. Let  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and let  $K$  be a number field containing  $\alpha$ . Then define

$$(9) \quad h(\alpha) = \prod_{v \in M(K)} \max\{1, |\alpha|_v\}.$$

We define the height of a polynomial to be the height of the corresponding coefficient vector. We also define height on  $GL_N(K)$  by viewing matrices as vectors in  $K^{N^2}$ . On the other hand, if  $M < N$  are positive integers and  $A$  is an  $M \times N$  matrix with row vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_M$ , we let

$$(10) \quad H(A) = H(\mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{a}_M),$$

and if  $V$  is the nullspace of  $A$  over  $K$ , we define  $H(V) = H(A)$ . This is well defined, since multiplication by an element of  $GL_M(K)$  does not change the height.

In other words, for a subspace  $V$  of  $K^N$  its height is defined to be the height of the corresponding point on a Grassmannian.

We will need the following basic property of heights, which can be easily derived from Lemma 2 of [12] (see Lemma 4.1.1 of [4] for details).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $g(X) \in K[X]$  be a polynomial of degree  $M$  in one variable with coefficients in  $K$ . There exists  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of degree at most  $M$  over  $K$  such that  $g(\alpha) = 0$ , and*

$$(11) \quad h(\alpha) \leq H(g)^{1/M}.$$

Throughout this paper, let  $M, N$  be positive integers, and define

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{M}(N, M) = \left\{ (i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N : \sum_{j=1}^N i_j = M \right\},$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is the set of all non-negative integers. Then any homogeneous polynomial  $F$  in  $N$  variables of degree  $M$  with coefficients in  $K$  can be written as

$$F(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(N, M)} f_{\mathbf{i}} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_N^{i_N} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_N].$$

For a point  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$ , we write  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z})$  to mean the degree of the extension  $K(z_1, \dots, z_N)$  over  $K$ , i.e.  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) = [K(z_1, \dots, z_N) : K]$ . We are now ready to proceed.

### 3. BASIC BOUNDS FOR ONE POLYNOMIAL

We start by exhibiting a basic bound for zeros of polynomials over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M \geq 1$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , and  $F(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  be a homogeneous polynomial in  $N$  variables of degree  $M$  with coefficients in a number field  $K$ . There exists  $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$  such that  $F(\mathbf{z}) = 0$  and*

$$(13) \quad H(\mathbf{z}) \leq \sqrt{2} H(F)^{1/M}.$$

*Proof.* If  $F$  is identically zero, then we are done. So assume  $F$  is non-zero. Write  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N$  for the standard basis vectors for  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Assume that for some  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $\deg_{X_i} F < M$ , then it is easy to see that  $F(\mathbf{e}_i) = 0$ , and  $H(\mathbf{e}_i) = 1$ . If  $N > 2$ , let

$$F_1(X_1, X_2) = F(X_1, X_2, 0, \dots, 0),$$

and a point  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  is a zero of  $F_1$  if and only if  $(x_1, x_2, 0, \dots, 0)$  is a zero of  $F$ , and  $H(x_1, x_2) = H(x_1, x_2, 0, \dots, 0)$ . In particular, if  $F_1(X_1, X_2) = 0$ , then  $F(\mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ . Hence we can assume that  $N = 2$ ,  $F(X_1, X_2) \neq 0$ , and  $\deg_{X_1} F = \deg_{X_2} F = M$ . Write

$$F(X_1, X_2) = \sum_{i=0}^M f_i X_1^i X_2^{M-i},$$

where  $f_0, f_M \neq 0$ . Let

$$g(X_1) = F(X_1, 1) = \sum_{i=0}^M f_i X_1^i \in K[X_1],$$

be a polynomial in one variable of degree  $M$  with coefficients in  $K$ . Notice that since coefficients of  $g$  are those of  $F$ , we have  $H(g) = H(F)$ . By Lemma 2.1, there must exist  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $\deg_K(\alpha) \leq M$  such that  $g(\alpha) = 0$ , and

$$H(\alpha, 1) \leq \sqrt{2} h(\alpha) \leq \sqrt{2} H(g)^{1/M} = \sqrt{2} H(F)^{1/M}.$$

Taking  $\mathbf{z} = (\alpha, 1)$ , completes the proof.  $\square$

Notice that if  $N = 2$ , then the bound (13) is best possible with respect to the exponent. Take

$$F(X_1, X_2) = X_1^M - CX_2^M,$$

for some  $0 \neq C \in K$ . Then zeros of  $F$  are of the form  $(\alpha C^{1/M}, \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and it is easy to see that  $H(\alpha C^{1/M}, \alpha) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H(F)^{1/M}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let the notation be as in Proposition 3.1. Then there exist vectors  $\mathbf{x}_{ij} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  with non-zero coordinates  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th coordinates,  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq N$ , and the rest of the coordinates equal to zero such that  $F(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) = 0$ ,  $\deg_K(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \leq M$ , and each  $\mathbf{x}_{ij}$  satisfies (13). Notice that  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N = \text{span}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\{\mathbf{x}_{ij} : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq N\}$ .*

*Proof.* In the proof of Proposition 3.1 instead of setting all but  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  equal to zero, set all but  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  equal to zero.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Notice that Proposition 3.1 only proves the existence of a small-height zero of  $F$  which is *degenerate* in the sense that it really is a zero of a binary form to which  $F$  is trivially reduced. Do there necessarily exist *non-degenerate* zeros of  $F$ ? To answer this question, we consider the problem of Proposition 3.1 with additional arithmetic conditions. We wonder what can be said about zeros of a polynomial over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  outside of a collection of subspaces? For instance, under which conditions does a polynomial  $F$  vanish at a point with nonzero coordinates? Here is a simple effective criterion.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $N \geq 2$ , and let  $F(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in K[X_1, \dots, X_N]$  have degree  $M \geq 1$ . If  $F$  is not a monomial, then there exists  $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$  such that  $F(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $z_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and*

$$(14) \quad H(\mathbf{z}) \leq M^M \sqrt{N-1} H(F).$$

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is not a monomial, there must exist a variable which is present to different powers in at least two different monomials, we can assume without loss of generality that it is  $X_1$ . Then we can write

$$F(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \sum_{i=0}^M F_i(X_2, \dots, X_N) X_1^i,$$

where each  $F_i$  is a polynomial in  $N-1$  variables of degree at most  $M-i$ . At least two of these polynomials are not identically zero, say  $F_j$  and  $F_k$  for some  $0 \leq j < k \leq M$ . Let

$$F_{jk}(X_2, \dots, X_N) = F_j(X_2, \dots, X_N) F_k(X_2, \dots, X_N),$$

then  $F_{jk}$  has degree at most  $2M - 1$ . By Lemma 2.2 of [6], there exists  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1}$  such that  $a_i \neq 0$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq N - 1$ ,  $F_{jk}(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ , and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} |a_i| \leq M,$$

hence  $H(\mathbf{a}) \leq M\sqrt{N-1}$ . Then  $g(X_1) = F(X_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$  is a polynomial in one variable of degree at most  $M$  with at least two nonzero monomials. If  $v \in M(K)$ ,  $v \nmid \infty$ , then  $H_v(g) \leq H_v(F)$ . If  $v \mid \infty$ , then for each  $0 \leq i \leq M$  we have  $\|F_i(\mathbf{a})\|_v \leq M^{M-i} H_v(F_i)$ , and so

$$(15) \quad H(g) \leq M^{M-1} H(F).$$

By factoring a power of  $X_1$ , if necessary, we can assume that  $g$  is a polynomial of degree at least one with coefficients in  $K$  such that  $g(0) \neq 0$ . Then, combining Lemma 2.1 with (15), we see that there exists  $0 \neq \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $[K(\alpha) : K] \leq M$ ,  $g(\alpha) = 0$ , and

$$h(\alpha) \leq H(g) \leq M^{M-1} H(F).$$

Let  $\mathbf{z} = (\alpha, \mathbf{a})$ , then  $F(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$ ,  $z_i \neq 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and

$$H(\mathbf{z}) \leq h(\alpha) H(\mathbf{a}) \leq M^M \sqrt{N-1} H(F).$$

□

Under stronger conditions we can find a zero of  $F$  of smaller height, all coordinates of which are non-zero.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $F(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  be a homogeneous polynomial in  $N \geq 2$  variables of degree  $M \geq 1$  with coefficients in a number field  $K$ . Suppose that  $F$  does not vanish at any of the standard basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N$ . Then there exists  $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$  such that  $F(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $z_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and*

$$(16) \quad H(\mathbf{z}) \leq C_2(N, M) H(F)^{1/M},$$

where

$$(17) \quad C_2(N, M) = 2^{N-1} \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{(4M+1)(N-2)}{2M}} \prod_{j=2}^N \binom{M+j-2}{j-2}^{\frac{1}{2M}}.$$

*Proof.* We argue by induction on  $N$ . If  $N = 2$ , then the result follows from the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Assume  $N > 2$ . Let  $\beta$  be a positive integer, and let

$$F'_{\pm\beta}(X_1, \dots, X_{N-1}) = F(X_1, \dots, X_{N-1}, \pm\beta X_{N-1}),$$

in other words set  $X_N = \pm\beta X_{N-1}$ , where the choice of  $\pm\beta$  is to be specified later. Let  $\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_{N-1}$  be the standard basis vectors for  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{N-1}$ . Notice that if  $F'_{\pm\beta}$  vanishes at  $\mathbf{e}'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N-2$ , then  $F$  vanishes at  $\mathbf{e}_i$ , which is a contradiction. In particular,  $F'_{\pm\beta}$  cannot be a monomial and cannot be identically zero. Suppose that  $F'_{\pm\beta}(\mathbf{e}'_{N-1}) = 0$ . This means that  $F'_{\pm\beta}(0, \dots, 0, X_{N-1})$  is identically zero. Write  $\mathbf{u}_i = (0, \dots, 0, i, M-i) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq M$ . Let

$$G(X_{N-1}, X_N) = F(0, \dots, 0, X_{N-1}, X_N) = \sum_{i=0}^M f_{\mathbf{u}_i} X_{N-1}^i X_N^{M-i},$$

then

$$F'_{\pm\beta}(0, \dots, 0, X_{N-1}) = G(X_{N-1}, \pm\beta X_{N-1}) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^M f_{\mathbf{u}_i} (\pm\beta)^{M-i} \right) X_{N-1}^M = 0,$$

that is

$$(18) \quad \sum_{i=0}^M f_{\mathbf{u}_i} (\pm\beta)^{M-i} = 0.$$

Notice that  $f_{\mathbf{u}_0} \neq 0$  and  $f_{\mathbf{u}_M} \neq 0$ , since otherwise  $F(\mathbf{e}_N) = 0$  or  $F(\mathbf{e}_{N-1}) = 0$ . Therefore the left hand side of (18) is a non-zero polynomial of degree  $M$  in  $\beta$ , and 0 is not one of its roots, so it has  $M$  non-zero roots. Therefore for the appropriate choice of  $\pm$  we can select  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that (18) is *not* true and

$$(19) \quad 0 < \beta \leq \frac{M}{2} + 1 = \frac{M+2}{2}.$$

Then for this choice of  $\pm\beta$ ,  $F'_{\pm\beta}$  is a polynomial in  $N-1$  variables of degree  $M$  which does not vanish at any of the standard basis vectors. From now on we will write  $F'_\beta$  instead of  $F'_{\pm\beta}$  for this fixed choice of  $\pm\beta$ .

Next we want to estimate height of such  $F'_\beta$ . Let  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}$  be such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} l_i = M$ . There exist  $l_{N-1} + 1 \leq M + 1$  vectors  $\mathbf{m}_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$  such that  $m_{ji} = l_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq N-2$  and  $m_{j(N-1)} + m_{jN} = l_{N-1}$ , where  $0 \leq j \leq l_{N-1}$ . Therefore the monomial of  $F'_\beta$  which is indexed by  $\mathbf{l}$  will have coefficient

$$(20) \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{l}} = \sum_{j=0}^{l_{N-1}} f_{\mathbf{m}_j} (\pm\beta)^{l_{N-1}-j}.$$

Then for each  $v \nmid \infty$

$$(21) \quad |\alpha_{\mathbf{l}}|_v \leq H_v(F),$$

and for each  $v | \infty$

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\alpha_{\mathbf{l}}\|_v^2 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{l_{N-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{l_{N-1}} \beta^{2l_{N-1}-i-j} \|f_{\mathbf{m}_i}\|_v \|f_{\mathbf{m}_j}\|_v \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\beta^{2l_{N-1}}}{2} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{l_{N-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{l_{N-1}} (\|f_{\mathbf{m}_i}\|_v^2 + \|f_{\mathbf{m}_j}\|_v^2) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\beta^{2l_{N-1}}(l_{N-1}+1)}{2} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{l_{N-1}} \|f_{\mathbf{m}_i}\|_v^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{l_{N-1}} \|f_{\mathbf{m}_j}\|_v^2 \right) \\ &\leq \beta^{2M} (M+2) H_v(F)^2 \leq 2 \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{2M+1} H_v(F)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by (19). Therefore, by (21) and (22), we have for each  $v \nmid \infty$ ,

$$(23) \quad H_v(F'_\beta) \leq H_v(F),$$

and for each  $v|\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_v(F'_\beta) &= \left( \sum_{I \in \mathcal{M}(N-1, M)} \|\alpha_I\|_v^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \sqrt{2} |\mathcal{M}(N-1, M)|^{1/2} \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{2M+1}{2}} H_v(F) \\
(24) \quad &\leq \sqrt{2} \binom{M+N-2}{N-2}^{1/2} \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{2M+1}{2}} H_v(F)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (23) and (24) together implies that

$$(25) \quad H(F'_\beta) \leq \sqrt{2} \binom{M+N-2}{N-2}^{1/2} \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{2M+1}{2}} H(F).$$

By induction hypothesis, there exists  $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{N-1}$  with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{x}) \leq M$  such that  $F'_\beta(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ ,  $x_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad H(\mathbf{x}) &\leq C_2(N-1, M) H(F'_\beta)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
&\leq C_2(N-1, M) 2^{\frac{1}{2M}} \binom{M+N-2}{N-2}^{\frac{1}{2M}} \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{2M+1}{2M}} H(F)^{\frac{1}{M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $E = K(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$ . Set  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}, \pm\beta x_{N-1}) \in E^N$ , then  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) = [E : K] \leq M$ ,  $F(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $z_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and applying (19) and (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad H(\mathbf{z}) &\leq \prod_{v|\infty} H_v(\mathbf{x}) \times \prod_{v|\infty} (\beta^2 \|x_{N-1}\|_v^2 + H_v(\mathbf{x})^2)^{\frac{d'_v}{2d'}} \leq \sqrt{\beta^2 + 1} H(\mathbf{x}) \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{M+1}{2M}} \binom{M+N-2}{N-2}^{\frac{1}{2M}} \left( \frac{M+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{4M+1}{2M}} C_2(N-1, M) H(F)^{\frac{1}{M}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the product in (27) is taken over all places in  $M(E)$ , and  $d'_v, d'$  stand for local and global degrees of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  respectively. The result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $K[\mathbf{X}]_M$  be the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $M$  in  $N$  variables over  $K$ . For an element  $A \in GL_N(K)$  define a map  $\rho_A : K[\mathbf{X}]_M \rightarrow K[\mathbf{X}]_M$  (compare with [1]), given by  $\rho_A(F)(\mathbf{X}) = F(A\mathbf{X})$  for each  $F \in K[\mathbf{X}]_M$ . It is easy to see that the map  $A \mapsto \rho_A$  is a representation of  $GL_N(K)$  in  $GL(K[\mathbf{X}]_M)$ .

With notation as in the statement of the theorem, let  $G(\mathbf{X}) = \rho_A(F)(\mathbf{X})$ . First suppose that  $G(\mathbf{e}_i) = F(A\mathbf{e}_i) = 0$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Since  $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{e}_i \in K^N$  is a row of  $A$ , it is easy to see that

$$H(\mathbf{y}) \leq H(A),$$

which is (3). Next assume that  $G(\mathbf{e}_i) \neq 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . By Theorem 4.2, there exists  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{G}_m^N$  such that  $G(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$ , and

$$H(\mathbf{z}) \leq C_2(N, M) H(G)^{1/M}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z}$  is such that  $F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ ,  $\deg_K(\mathbf{x}) \leq M$ , and  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} \in A\mathbb{G}_m^N$ . It is easy to see that

$$(28) \quad H(\mathbf{x}) \leq H(A)H(\mathbf{z}) \leq C_2(N, M) H(A)H(G)^{1/M}.$$



We now want to estimate  $H(G)$ . Let  $v \in M(K)$ . If  $v \nmid \infty$ , then

$$(29) \quad H_v(G) \leq H_v(A)^M H_v(F),$$

and if  $v | \infty$ , then

$$(30) \quad H_v(G) \leq \binom{N+M}{N}^{d_v/2d} H_v(A)^M H_v(F).$$

These bounds on local heights are well-known. Essentially identical estimates for a bihomogeneous polynomial in two pairs of variables follow from Lemmas 6, 7, and formula (2.2) of [1]. The proofs of (29) and (30) are similar to the proofs of Lemmas 6 and 7 of [1], so we do not include them here to maintain the brevity of exposition. Combining (29) and (30), we obtain

$$(31) \quad H(G) \leq \binom{N+M}{N}^{1/2} H(A)^M H(F).$$

The result follows by combining (28) and (31).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $F(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in K[X_1, \dots, X_N]$  be an inhomogeneous polynomial of degree  $M \geq 1$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $F$  does not vanish at any of the standard basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N$ . Then there exists  $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$  such that  $F(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,  $z_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and*

$$(32) \quad H(\mathbf{z}) \leq C_2(N+1, M) H(F)^{1/M},$$

where the constant  $C_2(N+1, M)$  is defined by (17) of Theorem 4.2.

*Proof.* Homogenize  $F$  using the variable  $X_0$  and denote the resulting homogeneous polynomial in  $N+1$  variables by  $F'(X_0, \dots, X_N)$ . Then  $F'$  has degree  $M$ , its coefficients are in  $K$ , and

$$F(X_1, \dots, X_N) = F'(1, X_1, \dots, X_N),$$

hence  $H(F') = H(F)$ . There exists  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_N) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{N+1}$  so that  $x_0 \neq 0$ , and

$$F'(x_0, \dots, x_N) = F(x_1/x_0, \dots, x_N/x_0) = 0.$$

Notice that

$$H(x_1/x_0, \dots, x_N/x_0) = H(x_1, \dots, x_N) \leq H(x_0, \dots, x_N) = H(\mathbf{x}),$$

hence it is sufficient to prove that there exists a zero  $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{N+1}$  of  $F'$  so that  $z_0 \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  is of bounded height. Notice that since the variable  $X_0$  was introduced to homogenize  $F$ , we have  $\deg(F) = \deg(F') = M$ , and so  $X_0 \nmid F'(X_0, \dots, X_N)$ .

Write  $\mathbf{e}'_0, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_N$  for the standard basis vectors in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{N+1}$ . First suppose that  $F'(\mathbf{e}'_i) \neq 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq N$ , then by Theorem 4.2 there exists  $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{N+1}$  satisfying (32) with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$  such that  $z_i \neq 0$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq N$ , and  $F'(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ , hence we are done. Next suppose that  $F'(\mathbf{e}'_0) = F(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ . Then let

$$G(X_1, \dots, X_N) = F'(X_1, X_1, \dots, X_N),$$

that is set  $X_0 = X_1$  in  $F'$ . Notice that for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $G(\mathbf{e}_i) = F(\mathbf{e}_i) \neq 0$ , and  $H(G) = H(F') = H(F)$ . Again, by Theorem 4.2 there exists  $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  satisfying (32) with  $\deg_K(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$  such that  $z_i \neq 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and  $G(\mathbf{z}) = F'(z_1, \mathbf{z}) = 0$ ,

and so we are done. Finally suppose that  $F'(e'_i) = 0$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Since  $X_0 \nmid F(X_0, \dots, X_N)$ , we can write

$$F'(X_0, \dots, X_N) = G_1(X_1, \dots, X_N) + X_0 G_2(X_0, \dots, X_N),$$

where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are both non-zero homogeneous polynomials of degrees  $M$  and  $M - 1$  respectively. Then  $F'(e'_i) = G_1(e_i) = 0$ , which means that the coefficient of the term  $X_i^M$  in  $G_1$  is zero, and hence it is zero in  $F'$  and thus in  $F$ . This implies that  $F(e_i) = 0$  contradicting our original assumption. Hence  $F'(e'_i) \neq 0$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and so we are done.  $\square$

In case  $N = 2$ , the exponent in the bound of Corollary 4.3 is best possible. Take

$$F(X_1, X_2) = X_1 - CX_2^M,$$

for some  $0 \neq C \in K$ . Then by the same argument as in the remark after the proof of Proposition 3.1 every non-trivial zero of  $F$  has height  $\geq O(H(F)^{1/M})$ .

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank Professors Paula Tretkoff and Jeff Vaaler for their helpful comments on the subject of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bombieri, A. J. Van Der Poorten, and J. D. Vaaler. Effective measures of irrationality for cubic extensions of number fields. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 23(2):211–248, 1996.
- [2] E. Bombieri and J. D. Vaaler. On Siegel’s lemma. *Invent. Math.*, 73(1):11–32, 1983.
- [3] J. W. S. Cassels. Bounds for the least solutions of homogeneous quadratic equations. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 51:262–264, 1955.
- [4] L. Fukshansky. *Algebraic points of small height with additional arithmetic conditions*. PhD thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 2004.
- [5] L. Fukshansky. Small zeros of quadratic forms with linear conditions. *J. Number Theory*, 108(1):29–43, 2004.
- [6] L. Fukshansky. Integral points of small height outside of a hypersurface. *Monatsh. Math.*, 147(1):25–41, 2006.
- [7] D. W. Masser. How to solve a quadratic equation in rationals. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 30(1):24–28, 1998.
- [8] D. W. Masser. Search bounds for Diophantine equations. *A panorama of number theory or the view from Baker’s garden (Zurich, 1999)*, pages 247–259, 2002.
- [9] Yu. V. Matijasevich. The diophantineness of enumerable sets. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 191:279–282, 1970.
- [10] D. G. Northcott. An inequality in the theory of arithmetic on algebraic varieties. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 45:502–509 and 510–518, 1949.
- [11] R. O’Leary and J. D. Vaaler. Small solutions to inhomogeneous linear equations over number fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 336(2):915–931, 1993.
- [12] C. G. Pinner and J. D. Vaaler. The number of irreducible factors of a polynomial. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 339(2):809–834, 1993.
- [13] S. Raghavan. Bounds of minimal solutions of diophantine equations. *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen, Math. Phys. Kl.*, 9:109–114, 1975.
- [14] D. Roy and J. L. Thunder. An absolute Siegel’s lemma. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 476:1–26, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MAILSTOP 3368, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843-3368

*E-mail address:* lenny@math.tamu.edu