

## 1 Problem 1.6.7

The vectors  $u_1 = (2, -3, 1)$ ,  $u_2 = (1, 4, -2)$ ,  $u_3 = (-8, 12, -4)$ ,  $u_4 = (1, 37, -17)$ , and  $u_5 = (-3, -5, 8)$  generate  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Find a subset of the set  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  that is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution:** To do so, it suffices to find a linearly independent subset. This is easily done by picking  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , which are clearly independent, and verifying independence with the other vectors. Doing so gives that  $u_5$  is independent from  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -3 \\ -3 & 4 & -5 \\ 1 & -2 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 & -3/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/11 \\ 1 & -2 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 & 3/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/11 \\ 0 & -5/2 & 13/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which reduces, of course, to,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is sufficient to show independence. Thus, the subset  $\{u_1, u_2, u_5\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

## 2 Problem 1.6.13

The set of solutions to the system,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 &= 0 \\ 2x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Solution:** Well, first, we notice that if we add the second equation's negation to the first, we have,

$$-x_1 + x_2 = 0$$

in other words, the system is satisfied for  $x_1, x_2$  so that  $x_1 = x_2$ .  $x_3$  is seen to depend on the choice of  $x_1$ , since plugging in  $x_1$  for  $x_2$  gives that the system is satisfied for  $x_1 = x_3$ . What emerges is that the solution set of this system is,

$$S = \text{span}(1, 1, 1)$$

which is, in fact, a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

### 3 Problem 1.6.19

Complete the proof of Theorem 1.8.

**Proof:** It remains to be seen that (using the same notation as in the text), if each  $v \in V$  can be uniquely represented as a linear combination of vectors of  $\beta$ , then  $\beta$  is a basis of  $V$ . Suppose the vectors in  $\beta$  are not linearly independent. Then there exist scalars  $\alpha_i$  not all zero such that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i = 0$$

But notice that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i$  also solves the equation. Thus,  $0$  has at least two different linear representations, which contradicts uniqueness. Thus,  $\beta$  is linearly independent. Now, we need to show that  $V = \text{span}(\beta)$ . If  $v \in V$ , then  $v$  can be represented as a linear combination of elements in  $\beta$  and thus  $v \in \text{span}(\beta)$ . If  $v \in \text{span}(\beta)$ , then obviously  $v \in V$  by closure of  $V$  under addition and scalar multiplication. Thus,  $v = \text{span}(\beta)$  and  $\beta$  is a basis for  $V$ .

### 4 Problem 2.1.2

Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by  $T(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_1 - a_2, 2a_3)$ . Prove that  $T$  is linear and find bases for  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)$ . Then, compute the nullity and rank of  $T$ , and verify the dimension theorem. Finally, use the appropriate theorems to determine whether  $T$  is injective or surjective.

**Solution:** Let's start with linearity. We take two vectors,  $(a, b, c)$  and  $(x, y, z)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $T(a+x, b+y, c+z) = (a+x-b-y, 2c+2z)$  by definition. Then, take  $T(a, b, c) + T(x, y, z)$ . This is just  $(a-b, 2c) + (x-y, 2z) = (a+x-b-y, 2c+2z)$ . Finally, take  $T(ka, kb, kc)$  for  $k \in F$ . This is  $(ka-kb, 2kc)$ . Also,  $kT(a, b, c) = k(a-b, 2c) = (ka-kb, 2kc)$ . We conclude that  $T$  is linear by definition.

Now, for  $N(T)$ , suppose  $T(a, b, c) = 0$ . Then  $(a-b, 2c) = 0$ . Thus, for any vector  $(a, b, c)$  such that  $a = b$  and  $c = 0$ , we have that  $(a, b, c) \in N(T)$ . Hence, the basis is  $N(T) = \text{span}(1, 1, 0)$ . Now, we claim that any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be written using transformed elements of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Well, take  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then we want to see if,

$$(x, y) = (a - b, 2c)$$

for some real  $a, b, c$ . But the equation  $a - b = x$  has infinitely many solutions. Finally, if  $\frac{1}{2}y = c$ , then we have the desired result. Since the range is all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can simply use the standard basis as a basis for  $R(T)$ .

Finally, we notice that  $T$  is not injective since it's nullspace does not consist only of the zero vector. However, by the previous argument, the transformation is onto (since its range is all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ).

### 5 Problem 2.1.15

Recall the definition of  $P(\mathbb{R})$  given on page 10 of the text. Define  $T : P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$  by,

$$T(f(x)) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$$

Prove that  $T$  is linear and injective, but not surjective.

**Proof:** Linearity is straightforward. Take  $f, g \in P(\mathbb{R})$ . Then,

$$T(f + g) = \int_0^x (f + g)dt = \int_0^x f dt + \int_0^x g dt = T(f) + T(g)$$

In the most pure sense, however, this is not completely justified. To justify this completely, you need at least one academic quarter of real analysis and a thorough understanding of *partitions* and *integrability* (it suffices, in this case, to just understand the Riemann Integral; yes, there are other types of integrals!). For now, just rely on the properties you learned in elementary calculus.

Now, we show injectivity. Suppose  $T(f) = T(g)$  for some  $f, g$ . Then,

$$\int_0^x f dt = \int_0^x g dt$$

Now, we have the following,

$$\int_0^x f dt - \int_0^x g dt = 0$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus (which you can assume), we differentiate both sides

$$f(x) - g(x) = 0$$

Thus, since  $f(x) = g(x)$ , we have proven injectivity. Another way of doing this is by showing that the nullspace is zero. This is equally valid:

$$\int_0^x f(t)dt = 0$$

differentiating,

$$f(x) = 0$$

for arbitrary  $f(x)$ . Thus,  $N(T) = \{0\}$ ; that is, the zero function. However, it is not onto. To see this, notice that for any constant function  $c$ , there exists no function in  $P(\mathbb{R})$  so that  $P(f) = c$ .

## 6 Problem 2.1.18

Give an example of a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $N(T) = R(T)$ .

**Solution:** An example of such is this. Let,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

That is, for any  $(x, y)$ ,  $T(x, y) = (y, 0)$ . Then we can see that  $N(T) = \text{span}(1, 0)$  and so is  $R(T)$ .

## 7 Online Problem 1

Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map, show the null space,  $\text{null}(T)$ , is a subspace of  $V$ .

**Proof:** Choose  $x, y \in \text{null}(T)$ . Choose  $c \in F$ . Notice that  $0 = T(x) + T(y) = T(x + y)$ . Hence,  $x + y \in \text{null}(T)$ . But also,  $0 = cT(x) = T(cx)$ . Thus,  $cx \in \text{null}(T)$ . We conclude that  $\text{null}(T)$  is a subspace by definition.

## 8 Problem 2.2.4

Define  $T : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R})$  by,

$$T \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = (a + b) + (2d)x + bx^2$$

Let,

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

and  $\gamma = \{1, x, x^2\}$ . Compute  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ .

**Solution:** Apply  $T$  to the basis elements to get  $1$ ,  $1 + x^2$ ,  $0$ , and  $2x$  respectively. Then, if  $\beta_i$  represent the various elements of  $\beta$ , we take,

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \left( [T(\beta_1)]_{\gamma}, [T(\beta_2)]_{\gamma}, [T(\beta_3)]_{\gamma}, [T(\beta_4)]_{\gamma} \right)$$

This is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 9 Problem 2.2.5a

Let,

$$\alpha = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

and  $\beta = \{1, x, x^2\}$ . Finally, let  $\gamma = \{1\}$ . Define  $T : M_{2 \times 2}(F) \rightarrow M_2 \times 2(F)$  by  $T(A) = A^t$ . Compute  $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ .

**Solution:** This is straightforward. All we must do, again, is apply the transformation to the basis elements. Let  $\alpha_i$  denote the basis elements of  $\alpha$ . Then  $T(\alpha_1)$  and  $T(\alpha_4)$  remain unchanged. However,  $T(\alpha_2) = \alpha_3$ . Also,  $T(\alpha_3) = T(\alpha_2)$ . The matrix, then, is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 10 Problem 2.2.16

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces such that  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ , and  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be linear. Show that there exist ordered bases  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  for  $V$  and  $W$  respectively, such that  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  is a diagonal matrix.

**Proof:** Let  $\alpha = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  be an ordered basis for  $N(T)$ . Extend  $\alpha$  to an ordered basis for the whole space:  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ . Now, we write the vectors  $\{T(v_{k+1}), \dots, T(v_n)\}$  as are an ordered basis for  $R(T)$  (as per the proof of the dimension theorem). Extend this to the ordered basis  $\gamma = \{w_1, \dots, w_k, T(v_{k+1}), \dots, T(v_n)\}$  for  $W$ . Now,

$$[T(v_i)]_{\gamma} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k \\ e_i & \text{if } k+1 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

This gives the desired result,

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = (0, \dots, 0, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_k)$$

That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$