

**INTERMEDIATE SPACES**

**Asuman G. AKSOY**

**DOĞA**

**TU J. Math. Vol. 13 Num. 3 1989**

## INTERMEDIATE SPACES

Asuman G. AKSOY

Claremont McKenna College, Department of Mathematics, California 91711 - USA

Received 23/02/1989

### Abstract

Considering Banach spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  continuously imbedded in a linear Hausdorff space and the function norms  $K(t; f)$  and  $J(t; f)$  on  $X_1 + X_2$  and  $X_1 \cap X_2$  respectively, we define two discrete intermediate spaces  $[X_1, X_2]_{\Theta, t}$  and  $[[X_1, X_2]]_{\Theta, t}$ . Some properties of these spaces as well as their interpolation property are established.

### ARA UZAYLARI

#### Özet

Bu makalede doğrusal Hausdorff uzayı  $\chi$ 'in içine sürekli gömme olan iki Banach uzayı  $X_1$  ve  $X_2$  gözönüne alınarak,  $X_1 + X_2$  uzayında  $K(t; f)$ ,  $X_1 \cap X_2$  uzayında  $J(t; f)$  işlevsel düzgeleri konularak,  $\Theta$ 'nın bütün ve  $t$ 'nin birden büyük değerleri için ayırtık ara uzayları  $[X_1, X_2]_{\Theta, t}$  ve  $[[X_1, X_2]]_{\Theta, t}$  tanımlanmıştır. Bu ara uzaylarının özellikleri ve içdeğer biçimleri incelenmiştir. Ayrıca nükleer gönderimlerin içdeğer biçimine ait bir teorem, belli bir ara uzayı için ispatlanmıştır.

In this paper we consider two Banach spaces  $X_1$  ve  $X_2$ , both continuously embedded in a linear Hausdorff space  $\chi$  and the function norms  $K(t; f)$  and  $J(t; f)$  on  $X_1 + X_2$  and  $X_1 \cap X_2$  respectively and define discrete intermediate spaces

$$[X_1, X_2]_{\Theta, t} = \{f \in X_1 + X_2 : t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) \in \Lambda_\infty(\alpha)\}$$

and

$$\|X_1, X_2\|_{\theta, t} = \{f \in X_1 + X_2 : \exists (u_n)_0^\infty \in X_1 \cap X_2 \ni f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$$

$$\text{in } X_1 + X_2 \text{ and } t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; f) \in \Lambda_\infty(\alpha)\}$$

where  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ ,  $t > 1$  a real number  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  is a stable nuclear exponent sequence and  $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$  is the corresponding infinite type power series space.

Several properties of these intermediate spaces and their interpolation property are established. We also prove a theorem about an interpolation property of a nuclear map when the intermediate space is of a certain class.

**Definitions 1.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two Banach spaces contained in a linear Hausdorff space  $\chi$  such that the identity mapping of  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) into  $\chi$  is continuous.  $X_1 + X_2$  is the algebraic sum of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  defined as  $X_1 + X_2 = \{f \in \chi : f = f_1 + f_2 \quad f_i \in X_i; i = 1, 2\}$ ; the spaces  $X_1 + X_2$  and  $X_1 \cap X_2$  are Banach spaces under the norms

$$\|f\|_{X_1 + X_2} = \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} (\|f_1\|_{X_1} + \|f_2\|_{X_2})$$

and

$$\|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2} = \max(\|f\|_{X_1}, \|f\|_{X_2})$$

respectively. Furthermore,  $X_1 \cap X_2 \subset X_i \subset X_1 + X_2 \subset \chi$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (see [1]).

We call a Banach space  $X \subset \chi$  satisfying

$$X_1 \cap X_2 \subset X \subset X_1 + X_2$$

an *intermediate space* of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . In the following, we shall discuss two general methods for generating intermediate spaces of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . First we recall the definitions of the *function norms*;

$$K(t; f) = \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} (\|f_1\|_{X_1} + t\|f_2\|_{X_2}) \quad (0 < t < \infty) \text{ on } X_1 + X_2$$

and

$$J(t; f) = \max(\|f\|_{X_1}, t\|f\|_{X_2}) \quad (0 < t < \infty) \text{ on } X_1 \cap X_2.$$

In the sequel, we need the following inequalities.

**Lemma 2:** [1].

- a) For each element  $x \in X_1 + X_2$ ,  $K(t; f)$  is a continuous, monotone increasing, concave function on  $(0, \infty)$  and

$$\min(1, t)\|f\|_{X_1 + X_2} \leq K(t; f) \leq \max(1, t)\|f\|_{X_1 + X_2}.$$

- b) For each element  $f \in X_1 \cap X_2$ ,  $J(t; f)$  is a continuous, monotone increasing, convex function on  $(0, \infty)$  and

$$\min(1, t) \|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2} \leq J(t; f) \leq \max(1, t) \|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2}.$$

- c) For each  $f \in X_1 \cap X_2$ ,

$$K(t; f) \leq \min(1, \frac{t}{s}) J(s; f) \quad (0 < t, s < \infty).$$

### Study of $K$ -Methods:

Given two Banach spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , we define

$$[X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t} = \{f \in X_1 + X_2 : t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) \in \Lambda_\infty(\alpha)\}$$

where  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ ,  $t > 1$  is an arbitrary fixed real number and  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  is a stable nuclear exponent sequence (see [2]). For  $f \in [X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t}$  the  $\ell$ -th seminorm of  $f$  is given by:

$$\|f\|_{\theta, t, \ell} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) e^{\ell \alpha_n} < \infty \quad \forall \ell = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Notation:*  $A \rightarrow B$  means  $A$  is continuously injected in  $B$ .

### Proposition 3:

- 1) For  $n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ , we have  $X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$ .
- 2) For  $n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\theta > 1$ , we have  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t} \cong X_1 + X_2$ .
- 3) a. For  $n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ , we have  $X_1 \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t}$ .  
b. For  $n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\theta > 1$ , we have  $X_2 \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t}$ .
- 4) If  $\theta' < \theta$ , then  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta', t} \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t}$ .
- 5)  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta, s} \cong [X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t}$ .

*Proof:*

- 1) Let  $f \in [X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t}$ . Then  $\|f\|_{\theta, t, \ell} < \infty \quad \forall \ell = 1, 2, \dots$  using Lemma 2 a) we have

$$\|f\|_{X_1 + X_2} \leq K(t^n; f)$$

since  $t > 1$ ; then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} e^{\ell\alpha_n} \|f\|_{X_1+X_2} \leq \|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} < \infty$$

for each fixed  $\ell$ . However the summation on the left is finite, or equivalently  $t^{-n\theta} \in \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$  since

$$t^{-n\theta} \in \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow (t^{-n\theta})^{1/\alpha_n} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{n}{\alpha_n} \theta \ln t \rightarrow -\infty \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{\alpha_n} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t} \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$ .

Now let  $f \in X_1 \cap X_2$ . From Lemma 2 c) we know that for each  $f \in X_1 \cap X_2$ ,  $K(t; f) \leq \min(1, t/s) J(s; f)$ , for  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$ . Setting  $s = 1$  and observing  $J(1, f) = \|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2}$  we obtain  $K(t^n; f) \leq \|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2}$ . Then

$$\|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} \leq \|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} e^{\ell\alpha_n}.$$

The summation on the right is finite, as seen above, and we get  $X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t}$ .

- 2) From 1) we know that  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t} \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$  when  $n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ . To show the other inclusion we again use Lemma 2 b) we have

$$K(t^n; f) \leq \max(1, t^n) \|f\|_{X_1+X_2} = t^n \|f\|_{X_1+X_2}$$

for  $t > 1$  and

$$\|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} \leq \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n(1-\theta)} e^{\ell\alpha_n} \right] \|f\|_{X_1+X_2}.$$

As before, the summation on the right is finite by our assumptions on  $\theta$  and  $\alpha_n$ . Hence  $X_1 + X_2 \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t}$ .

- 3) a. Let  $f \in X_1$ . Then  $K(t; f) \leq \|f\|_{X_1}$  and we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} \leq \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} e^{\ell\alpha_n} \right] \|f\|_{X_1}.$$

Since  $n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$  the summation on the right in the above inequality is finite and hence  $f \in [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t}$ .

- b. Let  $f \in X_2$ . Then  $K(t^n; f) \leq t^n \|f\|_{X_2}$  and

$$\|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} \leq \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n(1-\theta)} e^{\ell\alpha_n} \right] \|f\|_{X_2}.$$

Under the given conditions

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n(1-\theta)} e^{\ell\alpha_n} < \infty$$

and hence  $f \in [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t}$ .

4) Since for  $0 < \theta' < \theta$  and  $t > 1$

$$t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) e^{\ell\alpha_n} \leq t^{-n\theta'} K(t^n; f) e^{\ell\alpha_n},$$

the assertion is clear.

5) a. Let  $s > t > 1$  and  $f \in [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,s}$ . Consider

$$\|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) e^{\ell\alpha_n} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n:s^m \leq t^n < s^{m+1}} t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) \cdot e^{\ell\alpha_n} \quad (*)$$

We need the following observations:

- i)  $K(t; f)$  is increasing with  $t$ , therefore  $K(t^n; f) \leq K(s^{m+1}; f)$ .
- ii)  $s^m \leq t^n < s^{m+1}$  gives  $m \frac{\log s}{\log t} \leq n < (m+1) \frac{\log s}{\log t}$ . Set  $A = [\frac{\log s}{\log t}] + 1$  where  $[...]$  denotes the usual largest integer function. Since  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  is increasing, we have  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{(m+1)A}$ . Going back to equation (\*) above we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n:s^m \leq t^n < s^{m+1}} s^{-m\theta} K(s^{m+1}; f) e^{\ell\alpha_{(m+1)A}} \\ &\leq A s^{\theta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s^{-(m+1)\theta} K(s^{m+1}; f) e^{\ell\alpha_{(m+1)A}} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  is a stable nuclear exponent sequence  $\alpha_{AM}/\alpha_M \leq \delta$ ; therefore  $\|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell} \leq A s^{\theta} \|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell\delta}$  and hence  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta,s} \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t}$ .

b. Let  $s > t > 1$  and  $f \in [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t}$ .

From ii) of 5) a., we have

$$1 \leq \sum_{n:s^m \leq t^n < s^{m+1}} 1 = [\frac{\log s}{\log t}] + 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\theta,s,\ell} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s^{-m\theta} K(s^m; f) e^{\ell\alpha_m} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s^{-m\theta} K(s^m; f) e^{\ell\alpha_m} \cdot \left[ \sum_{n:s \leq t^n < s^{m+1}} 1 \right] \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$

We again observe the following:

- i)  $K(t; f)$  is increasing with  $t$ , therefore  $K(s^m; f) \leq K(t^n; f)$ .
- ii)  $s^m \leq t^n < s^{m+1}$  gives  $m \leq n \cdot \frac{\log t}{\log s} < m + 1$ . Let  $B = \left\lceil \frac{\log t}{\log s} \right\rceil + 1$ .  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  is increasing therefore  $\alpha_m \leq \alpha_{nB}$ . Going back to the equation (\*\*), we have

$$\|f\|_{\theta,s,\ell} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n: s^m \leq t^n < s^{m+1}} s^\theta t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) e^{\ell \alpha_n B}$$

Again using the stability of  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\frac{\alpha_{nB}}{\alpha_n} \leq \delta'$ ; hence

$$\|f\|_{\theta,s,\ell} \leq s^\theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} K(t^n; f) e^{\ell \delta' \alpha_n} = s^\theta \|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell \delta'}$$

and hence  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t} \rightarrow [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,s}$ .

Notice that, if  $s > t > 1$  from 5) a. and 5) b. we have  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t} \cong [X_1, X_2]_{\theta,s}$ . Therefore from now on we shall write  $[X_1, X_2]_\theta$  for the space  $[X_1, X_2]_{\theta,t}$ .

**Definition 4.** An *interpolation pair*  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a pair of Banach spaces  $X_1, X_2$  continuously contained in a linear Hausdorff space  $\chi$ . Let  $L(\chi, \mathbf{Y})$  be the space of all linear transformations from  $X_1 + X_2$  to  $Y_1 + Y_2$  (where  $\mathbf{Y}, Y_1, Y_2$  have a similar connotation) such that:

- i) For  $T \in L(\chi, \mathbf{Y})$ ,  $f_i \in X_i \Rightarrow Tf_i \in Y_i$ .
- ii)  $\|Tf_i\|_{Y_i} \leq M_i \|f_i\|_{X_i}$   $i = 1, 2$ .

(i.e., the restriction of  $T$  to  $X_i$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $X_i$  to  $Y_i$ ). Let  $X, Y$  be two intermediate spaces of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  and of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , respectively. We say  $X$  and  $Y$  have the *interpolation property* if for each  $T \in L(\chi, \mathbf{Y})$  the restriction of  $T$  to  $X$  is a bounded linear transformation of  $X$  into  $Y$ .

We know by Proposition 3 that  $[X_1, X_2]_\theta$  is an intermediate space when  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ . The last condition is satisfied for example in case  $\alpha_n = \sqrt{n}$  or  $\alpha_n = \log n$ . If  $\alpha_n = \sqrt{n}$  then  $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to the space of entire functions in two complex variables.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  and  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  be two interpolation pairs of  $\chi$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  respectively. Then the intermediate spaces  $[X_1, X_2]_\theta$  and  $[Y_1, Y_2]_\theta$  have the interpolation property.

*Proof:* Let  $T \in L(\chi, \mathbf{Y})$  satisfy the conditions in Definition 4. Now consider

$$K(t^n; Tf) = \inf_{Tf=g_1+g_2} (\|g_1\|_{Y_1} + t^n \|g_2\|_{Y_2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} (\|Tf_1\|_{Y_1} + t^n \|Tf_2\|_{Y_2}) \\ &\leq \max(M_1, M_2) \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} (\|f_1\|_{X_1} + t^n \|f_2\|_{X_2}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$K(t^n; Tf) \leq \max(M_1, M_2) K(t^n; f)$$

and

$$\|Tf\|_{\theta,t,\ell} \leq \max(M_1, M_2) \|f\|_{\theta,t,\ell}.$$

Thus we see the restriction of  $T$  to  $[X_1, X_2]_\theta$  into  $[Y_1, Y_2]_\theta$ .

We now give a theorem about an interpolation property of a nuclear map when the intermediate space is of a certain class. Given  $0 < \theta < 1$ , we say an intermediate space  $X$  of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is of class  $K(\theta, X_1, X_2)$  if for each  $f \in X$  and for each given  $t > 0$ , there exist  $f_1 \in X_1$  and  $f_2 \in X_2$  with  $f = f_1 + f_2$  and  $\|f_1\|_{X_1} \leq ct^\theta \|f\|_X$ ,  $\|f_2\|_{X_2} \leq ct^{\theta-1} \|f\|_X$ . The properties of intermediate spaces of class  $K(\theta, X_1, X_2)$  and their relation to the study of  $K$ -methods are investigated in the classical work of J.L. Lions and J. Peetre [3].

**Theorem 6.** Let  $B$  be a Banach space,  $(X_1, X_2)$  be an interpolation pair and  $X$  be of class  $K(\theta, X_1, X_2)$ . Suppose  $T : X_1 \rightarrow B$  is  $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$ -nuclear,  $T : X_2 \rightarrow B$  is  $\Lambda_\infty(\beta)$ -nuclear, where  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  are stable, nuclear exponent sequences. Then  $T : X \rightarrow B$  is  $\Lambda_\infty(\gamma)$ -nuclear, where  $\gamma_n = (1 - \theta)\alpha_n + \theta\beta_n$ .

*Proof:* Let  $U$  be the unit ball of  $X$  and  $\delta_n(T(U))$  be the  $n$ -th Kolmogorov diameter of  $T$  (see [4]). Given  $n$ , choose  $t = \delta_n(T(U_2))/\delta_n(T(U_1))$ . Let  $u \in U$ . Since  $X$  is of class  $K(\theta, X_1, X_2)$ ,  $\exists u_1 \in X_1, u_2 \in X_2$  such that  $u = u_1 + u_2$  with  $\|u_1\|_{X_1} \leq ct^\theta \|u\|_X$  and  $\|u_2\|_{X_2} \leq ct^{\theta-1} \|u\|_X$ . Let  $U_i$  be the unit ball of  $X_i$ . Then

$$T(U) \subset ct^\theta T(U_1) + ct^{\theta-1} T(U_2)$$

and consequently

$$\delta_{2n}(T(U)) \leq ct^\theta \delta_n(T(U_1)) + ct^{\theta-1} \delta_n(T(U_2)).$$

Then we have:

$$\delta_{2n}(T(U)) \leq C' \{\delta_n(T(U_1))\}^{1-\theta} \{\delta_n(T(U_2))\}^\theta \tag{*}$$

Now  $T : X_1 \rightarrow B$  is a  $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$ -nuclear, therefore  $\{\delta_n(T(U_1))\}^{1/\alpha_n} \rightarrow 0$ . So given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find an  $N_1$  such that  $\{\delta_n(T(U_1))\}^{1/\alpha_n} < \epsilon$  for  $\forall n \geq N_1$  and an  $N_2$  such that  $\{\delta_n(T(U_2))\}^{1/\beta_n} < \epsilon$  for  $\forall n \geq N_2$ . Let  $N = \min(N_1, N_2)$ ; then for  $n \geq N$  we have from (\*) observed that

$$\delta_{2n}(T(U)) < C' \epsilon^{(1-\theta)\alpha_n + \theta\beta_n}.$$



Let  $\gamma_n = (1 - \theta)\alpha_n + \theta\beta_n$ . Since  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  are both stable, we have  $\gamma_n$  is also stable. Now

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{2n}(T(U))e^{k\gamma_{2n}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{2n}(T)e^{M\gamma_n} < \infty;$$

the proof is completed using the stability of  $(\gamma_n)$ .

**Corollary 7.** Let  $B$  be a Banach space,  $(X_1, X_2)$  be an interpolation pair and  $X$  be of class  $K(\theta, X_1, X_2)$ . If  $T : X_1 \rightarrow B$  is  $\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ -nuclear, where  $(\alpha_n) = \alpha$  is a stable nuclear exponent sequence and  $T : X_2 \rightarrow B$  is continuous, then  $T : X \rightarrow B$  is  $\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ -nuclear.

*Proof:* Recall the inequality (\*) obtained in the proof of the previous theorem viz.,

$$\delta_{2n}(T(U)) \leq C' \{\delta_n(T(U_1))\}^{1-\theta} \{\delta_n(T(U_2))\}^{\theta}$$

$T : X_2 \rightarrow B$  is continuous, therefore  $\delta_n(T(U_2)) \leq M$ .  $T : X_1 \rightarrow B$  is  $\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ -nuclear; therefore we can find an  $N$  such that  $\delta_n(T(U_1)) < \epsilon^{\alpha_n} \forall n > N$  or  $\{\delta_n(T(U_1))\}^{1-\theta} \leq \epsilon^{(1-\theta)\alpha_n}$ . Using the above equation again and the fact that  $(\alpha_n) = \alpha$  is stable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{2n}(T)e^{k\alpha_{2n}} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{2n}(T)e^{k(1-\theta)\alpha_{2n}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{2n}(T)e^{k'\alpha_n} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

### Study of $J$ -Methods

Given two Banach spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  we define

$$[[X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t} = \{f \in X_1 + X_2 : \exists (u_n)_0^{\infty} \in X_1 \cap X_2 \text{ such that } f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$$

in  $X_1 + X_2$  and  $t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) \in \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)\}$  where  $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  is a stable nuclear exponent sequence,  $t > 1$  is a fixed real number. Let  $f \in [[X_1, X_2]_{\theta, t}$ . Then

$$\|f\|_{\theta, t, \ell} = \inf_{f = \sum u_n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n)e^{\ell\alpha_n} \text{ for } \ell = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Proposition 8.**

- 1) If either  $\theta \leq 1$  or  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded ( $\theta > 1$ ), then

$$X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_1 + X_2.$$

- 2) a. If either  $\theta \leq 0$  or  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded ( $\theta > 0$ ), then

$$\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \cong X_1 \cap X_2.$$

b.  $X_1 \cap X_2$  is a dense subspace of  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$ .

- 3) If  $\theta' < \theta$ , then  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta', t} \rightarrow \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$ .

- 4) a. If either  $\theta < 0$  or  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded,  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_1$ .

b. If either  $\theta \leq 1$  or  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded,  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_2$ .

*Proof:*

- 1) Let  $f \in X_1 \cap X_2$  and let  $\delta_{n,k}$  be the Kronecker symbol. Set  $u_n = f \cdot \delta_{0,n}$ . Then since only  $u_0 \neq 0$ ,  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  holds; moreover  $J(1; u_0) = J(1; f)$ .

$$\|f\|_{\theta, t, \ell} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell\alpha_n} = \|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2}$$

and hence  $X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$ .

Letting  $f \in \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$  then  $\exists (u_n)_0^{\infty} \in X_1 \cap X_2$  such that  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  in the  $X_1 + X_2$ -norm and  $\|f\|_{\theta, t, \ell} < \infty$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  in the  $X_1 + X_2$  norm,

$$\|f\|_{X_1 + X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|u_n\|_{X_1 + X_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n\|_{X_1 + X_2} = K(1; u_n).$$

Using Lemma 2 part c) we obtain  $K(1; u_n) \leq \min(1, t^{-n}) J(t^n; u_n)$ ;  $t > 1$  now gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{X_1 + X_2} &\leq t^{-n} J(t^n; u_n), \\ \|f\|_{X_1 + X_2} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n} J(t^n; u_n) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n(\theta-1)} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell\alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $\theta - 1 \leq 0$  ( $\theta \leq 1$ ), then

$$\|f\|_{X_1 + X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell\alpha_n}$$

giving  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$ .

Or if  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded and  $\theta > 1$ , then  $t^{n(\theta-1)} \leq e^{k\alpha_n}$ .

$$\|f\|_{X_1+X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{(k+\ell)\alpha_n} \text{ implies } \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_1 + X_2.$$

- 2) a. By part 1) we always have  $X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$ . To show the other inclusion, take  $f \in \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$  and then  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  and hence

$$\|f\|_{X_1+X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|u_n\|_{X_1+X_2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J(1; u_n).$$

Since  $J(t; f)$  increasing in  $t$  and  $t > 1$ ,  $J(1; u_n) \leq J(t^n; u_n)$  and

$$\|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J(t^n; u_n).$$

Now if  $\theta \leq 0$  we have  $t^{n\theta} \leq 1$  and so

$$\|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell\alpha_n},$$

or if  $\theta > 0$  and  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded ( $n \leq M\alpha_n$ ), letting  $\theta \ell n t = S > 0$ , we have  $t^{n\theta} = e^{nS} \leq e^{MS\alpha_n}$  and so

$$\|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{(MS+\ell)\alpha_n}.$$

In both cases  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_1 \cap X_2$ .

- b. To show  $X_1 \cap X_2$  is dense in  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$ , take  $f \in \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$ ; then  $\exists (u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in X_1 \cap X_2$  such that  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  and  $\|f\|_{\theta, t, \ell} < \infty \forall \ell$ . Define  $f_N = \sum_{n=0}^N u_n$  then  $f_N \in X_1 \cap X_2$  and

$$f - f_N = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} u_n.$$

So given  $\epsilon, \ell \exists N_{\epsilon} = N \ni \|f - f_N\|_{\theta, t, \ell} \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell\alpha_n} < \epsilon$ .

- 3) Consider  $t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell\alpha_n} = t^{n(\theta'-\theta)} t^{-n\theta'} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell\alpha_n}$ ; but  $\theta' < \theta$  and hence  $t^{n(\theta'-\theta)} \leq 1$ .

- 4) a. Let  $f \in \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$  then  $\|f\|_{X_1} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|u_n\|_{X_1}$ . On the other hand,  $J(t^n, f) = \max(\|f\|_{X_1}, t^n \|f\|_{X_2})$  gives  $\|f\|_{X_1} \leq J(t^n; f)$ ; hence

$$\|f\|_{X_1} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J(t^n; u_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} t^{n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell \alpha_n}.$$

If  $\theta < 0$ ,  $t^{n\theta} \leq 1$  then

$$\|f\|_{X_1} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell \alpha_n}.$$

Or if  $\theta > 0$  but  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded, we can write  $t^{n\theta} = e^{n\theta} \ln t$ . For  $\theta/\ln t = S > 0$  and  $n/\alpha_n \leq M$ .

$$\|f\|_{X_1} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{(MS+\ell)\alpha_n}.$$

Hence if either  $\theta < 0$  or  $n/\alpha_n \leq M$ , then  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_1$ .

- b. We know  $t^n \|f\|_{X_2} \leq J(t^n; f)$ . In particular  $t^n \|u_n\|_{X_2} \leq J(t^n; u_n)$ . Let  $f \in \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$  then  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{X_2} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|u_n\|_{X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n} J(t^n; u_n) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n(\theta-1)} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{\ell \alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $\theta - 1 < 0$  then  $t^{n(\theta-1)} \leq 1$ . Or if  $\theta - 1 > 0$  and  $n/\alpha_n$  is bounded then  $t^{n(\theta-1)} \leq e^{MS\alpha_n}$  where  $S = (\theta - 1) \cdot \ln t \geq 0$  and  $n/\alpha_n \leq M$ .

Hence

$$\|f\|_{X_2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n\theta} J(t^n; u_n) e^{(MS+\ell)\alpha_n}$$

gives  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t} \rightarrow X_2$ .

**Theorem 9.** Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  and  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  be two interpolation pairs of  $\chi$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  respectively. The intermediate spaces  $\llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$  and  $\llbracket Y_1, Y_2 \rrbracket_{\theta, t}$  have the interpolation property.

*Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned} J(t; Tf) &= \max(\|Tf\|_{X_1}, t\|Tf\|_{X_2}) \leq \max(M_1, M_2)(\|f\|_{X_1}, t\|f\|_{X_2}) \\ &\leq \max(M_1, M_2)J(t; f) \\ T(1; Tf) &= \|Tf\|_{Y_1 \cap Y_2} \leq \max(M_1, M_2)\|f\|_{X_1 \cap X_2} \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $T$  is a continuous linear map form  $X_1 \cap X_2$  into  $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ . From the proof of Theorem 5 we have  $K(t, Tf) \leq \max(M_1, M_2)K(t; f)$ . Letting  $t = 1$  we get  $\|Tf\|_{Y_1+Y_2} \leq \max(M_1, M_2)\|f\|_{X_1+X_2}$ , (i.e.  $T$  is continuous linear map form  $X_1 + X_2$  into  $Y_1 + Y_2$ ). For  $f \in \llbracket X_1, X_2 \rrbracket_{0,t}$  we have  $(u_n) \in X_1 \cap X_2 \ni$

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$$

in  $X_1 + X_2$ -norm; so we also have  $Tf = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Tu_n$  in  $Y_1 + Y_2$  norm where  $\{Tu_n\} \in Y_1 \cap Y_2$ . Finally  $J(t; Tu_n) \leq \max(M_1, M_2)J(t; u_n)$  completes the proof.

- [1] Butzer, P.L. and H. Berens: *Semigroup of Operators and Approximation*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.
- [2] Dubinsky, E., and M.S. Ramanujan: On  $\lambda$ -nuclearity, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128**, (1972).
- [3] Lions, J.L., ad J. Peetre: Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, **19**, (1964), 5-68.