

# Multiplicatively dependent vectors of algebraic numbers

C.L. Stewart

`cstewart@uwaterloo.ca`

Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Diophantine Approximation and Analytic Number Theory in  
Honor of Jeffrey Vaaler

This is joint work with Francesco Pappalardi, Min Sha and Igor E. Shparlinski.

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $G$  be a multiplicative group and let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  be in  $G^n$ . We say that  $\nu$  is multiplicatively dependent if there is a non-zero vector  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for which

$$\nu^{\mathbf{k}} = \nu_1^{k_1} \cdots \nu_n^{k_n} = 1. \quad (1)$$

We denote by  $M_n(G)$  the set of multiplicatively dependent vectors in  $G^n$ .

For instance, the set  $M_n(\mathbb{C}^*)$  of multiplicatively dependent vectors in  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is of Lebesgue measure zero, since it is a countable union of sets of measure zero. Further, if we fix an exponent vector  $\mathbf{k}$  the subvariety of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  determined by (1) is an algebraic subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ .

Our objective is to count the number of multiplicatively dependent  $n$ -tuples whose coordinates are algebraic numbers of fixed degree, or within a fixed number field, and bounded height.

Equivalently we shall count  $n$ -tuples of algebraic numbers in a fixed algebraic number field, or of fixed degree, and given height which occur in some proper algebraic subgroup of the algebraic group  $G_m^n$ , where  $G_m$  is the multiplicative group of an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For any algebraic number  $\alpha$ , let

$$f(x) = a_d x^d + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  (so with content 1 and positive leading coefficient). Suppose that  $f$  factors as

$$f(x) = a_d(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_d)$$

over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . The *naive height*  $H_0(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  is given by

$$H_0(\alpha) = \max\{|a_d|, \dots, |a_1|, |a_0|\},$$

and  $H(\alpha)$ , the height of  $\alpha$ , also known as the *absolute Weil height* of  $\alpha$ , is defined by

$$H(\alpha) = (a_d \prod_{i=1}^d \max\{1, |\alpha_i|\})^{1/d}.$$

Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $d$  (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). We use the following standard notation:

- $r_1$  and  $r_2$  for the number of real and pairs of complex conjugate embeddings of  $K$ , respectively, and put  $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$ ;
- $D, h, R$  and  $\zeta_K$  for the discriminant, class number, regulator and Dedekind zeta function of  $K$ , respectively;
- $w$  for the number of roots of unity in  $K$ .

Note that  $r$  is exactly the rank of the unit group of the ring of algebraic integers of  $K$ . As usual, let  $\zeta(s)$  be the Riemann zeta function.

For any real number  $x$ , let  $\lceil x \rceil$  denote the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $x$ , and let  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .

For a finite set  $S$  we use  $|S|$  to denote its cardinality.

Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $d$ . Denote the set of algebraic integers of  $K$  of height at most  $H$  by  $\mathcal{B}_K(H)$  and the set of algebraic numbers of  $K$  of height at most  $H$  by  $\mathcal{B}_K^*(H)$ . Set

$$B_K(H) = |\mathcal{B}_K(H)| \quad \text{and} \quad B_K^*(H) = |\mathcal{B}_K^*(H)|.$$



Put

$$C_1(K) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} d^r}{|D|^{1/2} r!}.$$

Widmer(2016) proved that

$$B_K(H) = C_1(K)H^d(\log H)^r + O(H^d(\log H)^{r-1}). \quad (2)$$

For any positive integer  $n$ , we denote by  $L_{n,K}(H)$  the number of multiplicatively dependent  $n$ -tuples whose coordinates are algebraic integers of height at most  $H$ , and we denote by  $L_{n,K}^*(H)$  the number of multiplicatively dependent  $n$ -tuples whose coordinates are algebraic numbers of height at most  $H$ .

Put

$$C_3(n, K) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} wC_1(K)^{n-1}.$$

## Theorem

Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $n$  be an integer with  $n \geq 2$ . We have

$$L_{n,K}(H) = C_3(n, K)H^{d(n-1)}(\log H)^{r(n-1)} + O\left(H^{d(n-1)}(\log H)^{r(n-1)-1}\right); \quad (3)$$

if furthermore  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  or is an imaginary quadratic field, we have

$$L_{n,K}(H) = C_3(n, K)H^{d(n-1)} + O\left(H^{d(n-3/2)}\right). \quad (4)$$

Define

$$C_2(K) = \frac{2^{2r_1} (2\pi)^{2r_2} 2^r hR}{|D| w\zeta_K(2)}.$$

Schanuel proved in 1979 that

$$B_K^*(H) = C_2(K)H^{2d} + O(H^{2d-1}(\log H)^{\sigma(d)}), \quad (5)$$

where  $\sigma(1) = 1$  and  $\sigma(d) = 0$  for  $d > 1$ .



We estimate  $L_{n,K}^*(H)$  next. Put

$$C_4(n, K) = n^2 w C_2(K)^{n-1}.$$

## Theorem

Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $d$ , and let  $n$  be an integer with  $n \geq 2$ . Then, we have

$$L_{n,K}^*(H) = C_4(n, K)H^{2d(n-1)} + O(H^{2d(n-1)-1}g(H)), \quad (6)$$

where

$$g(H) = \begin{cases} \log H & \text{if } d = 1 \text{ and } n = 2 \\ \exp(c \log H / \log \log H) & \text{if } d = 1 \text{ and } n > 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } d > 1 \text{ and } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and  $c$  is a positive number depending only on  $n$ .

The following notion plays a crucial role in our argument. Let  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be an algebraic closure of the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $\nu$  in  $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)^n$ , we define  $s$ , the *multiplicative rank* of  $\nu$ , in the following way. If  $\nu$  has a coordinate which is a root of unity, we put  $s = 0$ ; otherwise let  $s$  be the largest integer with  $1 \leq s \leq n$  for which any  $s$  coordinates of  $\nu$  form a multiplicatively independent vector. Notice that

$$0 \leq s \leq n - 1, \tag{7}$$

whenever  $\nu$  is multiplicatively dependent.



We now outline the strategy of the proofs. Given a number field  $K$ , we define  $L_{n,K,s}(H)$  and  $L_{n,K,s}^*(H)$  to be the number of multiplicatively dependent  $n$ -tuples of multiplicative rank  $s$  whose coordinates are algebraic integers in  $\mathcal{B}_K(H)$  and algebraic numbers in  $\mathcal{B}_K^*(H)$  respectively. It follows from (7) that

$$\begin{cases} L_{n,K}(H) = L_{n,K,0}(H) + \cdots + L_{n,K,n-1}(H) \\ L_{n,K}^*(H) = L_{n,K,0}^*(H) + \cdots + L_{n,K,n-1}^*(H). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The main term in (3) comes from the contributions of  $L_{n,K,0}(H)$  and  $L_{n,K,1}(H)$  in (8), and the main term in our second theorem comes from the contributions of  $L_{n,K,0}^*(H)$  and  $L_{n,K,1}^*(H)$  in (8). To prove our results we make use of (8) and the following result.

## Theorem

*Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $d$ . Let  $n$  and  $s$  be integers with  $n \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$ . Then, there exist positive numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  which depend on  $n$  and  $K$ , such that*

$$L_{n,K,s}(H) < H^{d(n-1)-d(\lceil (s+1)/2 \rceil - 1)} \exp(c_1 \log H / \log \log H) \quad (9)$$

*and*

$$L_{n,K,s}^*(H) < H^{2d(n-1)-d(\lceil (s+1)/2 \rceil - 1)} \exp(c_2 \log H / \log \log H). \quad (10)$$

The next result shows that if algebraic numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are multiplicatively dependent, then we can find a relation where the exponents are not too large. Such a result has found application in transcendence theory.

### Lemma

*Let  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be multiplicatively dependent non-zero algebraic numbers of degree at most  $d$  and height at most  $H$ . Then, there is a positive number  $c$ , which depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ , and there are rational integers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$ , not all zero, such that*

$$\alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{k_n} = 1$$

*and*

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |k_i| < c(\log H)^{n-1}.$$

This follows from a result of van der Poorten and Loxton.

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be positive real numbers with  $y$  larger than 2, and let  $\psi(x, y)$  denote the number of positive integers not exceeding  $x$  which contain no prime factors greater than  $y$ . Put

$$Z = \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{y}{\log x} \right) \right) \frac{\log x}{\log y} + \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log x}{y} \right) \right) \frac{y}{\log y}$$

and

$$u = (\log x)/(\log y).$$

## Lemma

For  $2 < y \leq x$ , we have

$\psi(x, y)$

$$= \exp \left( Z \left( 1 + O((\log y)^{-1}) + O((\log \log x)^{-1}) + O((u + 1)^{-1}) \right) \right)$$

This is a result of N.G. de Bruijn from 1966.

Let  $d$  be a positive integer, and let  $\mathcal{A}_d(H)$ , respectively  $\mathcal{A}_d^*(H)$ , be the set of algebraic integers of degree  $d$  (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), respectively algebraic numbers of degree  $d$ , of height at most  $H$ . We set

$$A_d(H) = |\mathcal{A}_d(H)| \quad \text{and} \quad A_d^*(H) = |\mathcal{A}_d^*(H)|.$$

Put

$$C_5(d) = d2^d \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{d(2j)^{d-2j-1}}{(2j+1)^{d-2j}}$$

and

$$C_6(d) = \frac{d2^d}{\zeta(d+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{(d+1)(2j)^{d-2j}}{(2j+1)^{d-2j+1}}.$$

It follows from the work of Barroero from 2014 that

$$A_d(H) = C_5(d)H^{d^2} + O\left(H^{d(d-1)}(\log H)^{\rho(d)}\right), \quad (11)$$

where  $\rho(2) = 1$  and  $\rho(d) = 0$  for any  $d \neq 2$ .



Masser and Vaaler showed in 2008 that

$$A_d^*(H) = C_6(d)H^{d(d+1)} + O\left(H^{d^2}(\log H)^{\vartheta(d)}\right), \quad (12)$$

where  $\vartheta(1) = \vartheta(2) = 1$  and  $\vartheta(d) = 0$  for any  $d \geq 3$ .

For any positive integer  $n$ , we denote by  $M_{n,d}(H)$  the number of multiplicatively dependent  $n$ -tuples whose coordinates are algebraic integers in  $\mathcal{A}_d(H)$ , and we denote by  $M_{n,d}^*(H)$  the number of multiplicatively dependent  $n$ -tuples whose coordinates are algebraic numbers in  $\mathcal{A}_d^*(H)$ .

For each positive integer  $d$ , we define  $w_0(d)$  to be the number of roots of unity of degree  $d$ . Let  $\varphi$  denote Euler's totient function. Since  $\varphi(k) \gg k / \log \log k$  for any integer  $k \geq 3$ , it follows that

$$w_0(d) \ll d^2 \log \log d, \quad (13)$$

where  $d \geq 3$  and the implied constant is absolute. We remark that  $w_0(d)$  can be zero, such as for an odd integer  $d > 1$ .

Given positive integers  $n$  and  $d$ , we define  $C_7(n, d)$  and  $C_8(n, d)$  as

$$C_7(n, d) = (nw_0(d) + n(n - 1)) C_5(d)^{n-1}$$

and

$$C_8(n, d) = (nw_0(d) + 2n(n - 1)) C_6(d)^{n-1}.$$

## Theorem

Let  $d$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $n \geq 2$ . Then, the following hold.

(i) We have

$$M_{n,d}(H) = C_7(n, d)H^{d^2(n-1)} + O\left(H^{d^2(n-1)-d/2}\right); \quad (14)$$

furthermore if  $d = 2$  or  $d$  is odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n,d}(H) = C_7(n, d)H^{d^2(n-1)} \\ + O\left(H^{d^2(n-1)-d} \exp(c_0 \log H / \log \log H)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

## Theorem

Let  $d$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $n \geq 2$ . Then, the following hold.

(ii) We have

$$M_{n,d}^*(H) = C_8(n, d)H^{d(d+1)(n-1)} + O\left(H^{d(d+1)(n-1)-d/2} \log H\right); \quad (16)$$

furthermore if  $d = 2$  or  $d$  is odd, we have

$$M_{n,d}^*(H) = C_8(n, d)H^{d(d+1)(n-1)} + O\left(H^{d(d+1)(n-1)-d} \exp(c \log H / \log \log H)\right) \quad (17)$$

and where  $c$  is a positive number which depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ .

Thank you.