

Math 152. 4/23/09

## Signed Rank Test

Last time, we saw that a paired sample design can reduce the variance of the mean of the differences (or differences in means in the unpaired case).

e.g.

Before	After	Diff	Diff	Rank	Sign Rank
25	27	2	2	2	2
29	25	-4	4	3	-3
60	59	-1	1	1	-1
27	37	10	10	4	4

$$W^+ = 2 + 4 = 6.$$

Calculate differences.

rank the abs. values of the differences.

restore the signs to the ranked abs values

sum the positive sign ranks. =  $W^+$

Reject if  $W^+$  is too large or too small.

under the null hypothesis:

(that the distribution of pair differences is symmetric about the origin).

each rank is equally likely to be + or - and each of

the  $2^n$  (not all distinct sums)

combinations of signs and ranks is equally likely to occur

The distribution of  $W^+$  is tabulated for up to moderate sample sizes (and can be easily simulated).

For larger sample sizes <sup>(n > 20)</sup> the distribution is closely approximated by the normal distribution.

$$W^+ = \sum_{k=1}^n k I_k$$

where  $I_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k^{\text{th}} \text{ largest } |D_i| \text{ has } D_i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

$$\text{Prob } \{ I_k = 1 \} = 1/2$$

under the null

$$\text{So } E(W^+) = \sum_{k=1}^n k \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(W^+) &= \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24} \end{aligned}$$

A more general C.L.T

$\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{W^+ - \frac{n(n+1)}{4}}{\sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}}} \sim N(0, 1), \quad n \geq 20.$$

Methods which assume normality

Un paired t-test.

"recall:"  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ i.i.d. } N(\mu_x, \sigma^2) \\ Y_1, \dots, Y_n \text{ i.i.d. } N(\mu_y, \sigma^2) \\ X_i, Y_j \text{ ind } \forall i, j \end{array} \right.$

$$\Rightarrow t = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}} \sim t_{m+n-2}$$

where 
$$S_p^2 = \frac{(n-1)S_X^2 + (m-1)S_Y^2}{m+n-2}.$$

and  $t_{m+n-2}$  denotes the  
 "t-distribution with  $m+n-2$   
 degrees of freedom".

Def: if  $Z \sim N(0,1)$  and  $U \sim \chi_n^2$  are independent.

the distribution of  $Z / \sqrt{U/n}$  is called

the t-distribution with  $n$  degrees of freedom.

it can be shown that the density is <sup>of  $X \sim t_n$</sup>

$$f_X(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{n\pi} \Gamma(n/2)} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{(n+1)}{2}}$$

For  $n \geq 20$  the  $t$ -distribution is approx. normal.

---

$\therefore$  a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  C.I for  $\mu_x - \mu_y$  is  $(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) \pm t_{m+n-2}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$

$$\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \pm t_{m+n-2}(\frac{\alpha}{2}) S_{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}$$

where  $S_{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}} = S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}$

and  $t_{m+n-2}(\frac{\alpha}{2}) = x$

s.t if

$X \sim t_{m+n-2}$  then  $\text{Prob}\{X \geq x\} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ .

If the sample sizes are large the  
the  $t$ -test is robust against departures  
from normality. in the distribution  
of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

( $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  are  $\approx$  normal).

For smaller sample sizes  
( $\approx 20$  or  $30$ )

the test is more robust than  
you might guess but can  
go wrong in unfavorable  
cases.

typical problems:

- 2 pop's have same s.d.'s and  
similarly shaped distributions  
sample sizes roughly equal.  
 $\Rightarrow$  long tails cause moderate trouble  
skewness does not (much)
- Same as above, but different sample  
sizes,  $\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{long tails} \\ + \text{skewness} \end{array} \right] = \text{serious problem}$

• for  
two populations  
w/ considerably different  
skewness

t-test can give very  
unsteady results for  
small and moderate sample  
sizes.

• if independence assumptions  
are violated it is not  
wise to use "t-tables".

Two important / useful theoretical facts:

1) The two sided  $t$ -test:

$H_A : \mu_x \neq \mu_y$  is equivalent to a likelihood ratio test.

2) if  $\text{Var}(X) \neq \text{Var}(Y)$  then

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) \approx \frac{S_x^2}{n} + \frac{S_y^2}{m}$$

is a natural substitute in

the denominator of the  $t$ -statistic.

It can be shown that

$$df \approx \frac{\left[ \left( \frac{S_x^2}{n} \right) + \left( \frac{S_y^2}{m} \right) \right]^2}{\frac{\left( \frac{S_x^2}{n} \right)^2}{n-1} + \frac{\left( \frac{S_y^2}{m} \right)^2}{m-1}}$$

to the nearest integer.



## Paired Samples. (t-test)

if the differences between pairs are normally distributed with

$$E(D_i) = \mu_X - \mu_Y = \mu_D$$

$$\text{and } \text{Var}(D_i) = \sigma_D^2$$

(with unknown  $\mu_D, \sigma_D$ )

then

$$t = \frac{\bar{D} - \mu_D}{S_{\bar{D}}}$$

follows a t-distribution with  $n-1$  degrees of freedom.

A  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu_D$  is

$$\bar{D} \pm t_{n-1}(\alpha/2) S_{\bar{D}}$$

(where  $\text{Prob}\{X > t_{n-1}(\alpha/2)\} = \alpha/2$   
when  $X \sim t_{n-1}$ ).

The rejection criteria for a two sided test at level  $\alpha$  of  $H_0: \mu_D = 0$  is

$$|\bar{D}| > t_{n-1}(\alpha/2) S_{\bar{D}}.$$

These results are approximately true in the absence of normality, for large sample sizes.

For small sample sizes and non-normal data, they can be in serious error.