

Math 137

11-5-08.

Last time  
(Tonelli).

$(E_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu_1)$   $(E_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \mu_2)$

$f: (E_1 \times E_2, \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  mble.

$\exists \nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2$  a measure  
s.t.  $\nu(\rho_1 \times \rho_2) = \mu_1(\rho_1) \mu_2(\rho_2)$   
 $\forall \rho_i \in \mathcal{B}_i \quad i=1, 2.$

and  $\nu$  fixes  $f$  above.  $(f \geq 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_1 \times E_2} f d\nu &= \int_{E_2} \left( \int_{E_1} f d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2 \\ &= \int_{E_1} \left( \int_{E_2} f d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: (Fubini).

$f: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  mble.  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2$ .

$f \in L^1(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$  iff.

$$\int_{E_1} \left( \int_{E_2} |f| d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 < +\infty.$$

$$\text{iff } \int_{E_2} \left( \int_{E_1} |f| d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2 < +\infty.$$

With

$$\Lambda_1 = \{ x_1 \in E_1 : f(x_1, \cdot) \in L^1(\mu_2) \}$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \{ x_2 \in E_2 : f(\cdot, x_2) \in L^1(\mu_1) \}$$

The functions

$$f_1(x_1) = \begin{cases} \int_{E_2} f(x_1, x_2) d\mu_2(x_2) & x_1 \in \Lambda_1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(x_2) = \begin{cases} \int_{E_1} f(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1(x_1) & x_2 \in \Lambda_2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

are  $\mathbb{R}$  valued measurable on  $(E_i, \mathcal{B}_i)$ .

If  $f$  is  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  integrable

then  $\mu_i(\Lambda_i^c) = 0$ ,  $f_i \in L^1(\mu_i)$

and

$$(*) \int_{E_i} f_i(x_i) d\mu_i(x_i) = \int_{E_1 \times E_2} f(x_1, x_2) d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1, x_2)$$

$i = 1, 2.$

Pf: (last statement, <sup>(\*)</sup> the others are all easy consequences of Tonelli).

$$\int_{E_1 \times E_2} f(x_1, x_2) d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times E_2} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_1 \times E_2} f^+ d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) - \int_{\Omega_1 \times E_2} f^- d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{E_2} f^+ d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 - \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{E_2} f^- d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_1} f_1(x_1) d\mu_1$$

and similarly with  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ .

## Changes of Variable

$(E_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$  and  $(E_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$  measurable spaces.

$\mu$  a measure on  $(E_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$

$\Phi : (E_1, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow (E_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$  a measurable map.

i.e. if  $P_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$  then  
 $\Phi^{-1}(P_2) = \{x \in E_1 : \Phi(x) \in P_2\} \in \mathcal{B}_1$ .

Define the measure  $\Phi_* \mu$  on  $(E_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$

$$\text{by } (\Phi_* \mu)(P) = \mu(\Phi^{-1}(P))$$

for  $P \in \mathcal{B}_2$ .

$$\left( \begin{aligned} \Phi_* \mu(\bigcup_n P_n) &= \mu(\Phi^{-1}(\bigcup_n P_n)) \\ &= \mu(\bigcup_n \Phi^{-1}(P_n)) \\ &= \sum_n \mu(\Phi^{-1}(P_n)) = \sum_n \Phi_* \mu(P_n) \\ &\text{if } P_n \cap P_m = \emptyset \text{ } m \neq n \end{aligned} \right)$$

Lemma: for every  $\varphi \geq 0$  measurable on  $(E_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$

$$(*) \int_{E_2} \varphi \, d(\Phi_* \mu) = \int_{E_1} \varphi \circ \Phi \, d\mu$$

$\varphi \in L^1(E_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \Phi_* \mu)$  iff  $\varphi \circ \Phi \in L^1(E_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu)$

and (\*) holds  $\forall \varphi \in L^1(E_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \Phi_* \mu)$ .

Pf: The last two statements follow by considering positive and negative parts separately.

If  $\varphi = \chi_P$  for  $P \in \mathcal{B}_2$

$$\int_{E_2} \chi_P \, d(\Phi_* \mu) = \Phi_* \mu(P) = \mu(\Phi^{-1}(P))$$

$$= \int_{E_1} \chi_{\Phi^{-1}(P)} \, d\mu$$

$$= \int_{E_1} \chi_P(\Phi(x)) \, d\mu_1(x).$$

by linearity (\*) holds when

$\varphi$  is a non-negative measurable simple function on  $(E, \mathcal{B}_E)$ .

By M.C.T. (\*) holds for all  $\varphi \geq 0$  measurable on  $(E, \mathcal{B}_E)$ .

---

If the mapping above is

$$f: (E, \mathcal{B}_E, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

we take  $\mu_f = f_* \mu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$

then if  $\varphi \geq 0$  is measurable on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$

we have.

$$\int_E (\varphi \circ f)(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) d\mu_f(t).$$

The L.H.S. can often be evaluated as a Riemann integral or limit of Riemann integrals.

Theorem:  $\nu$  a finite measure on  
 $([a, b], \mathcal{B}_{[a, b]})$   
 $-\infty < a < b < \infty$

$$\Psi(t) = \nu([a, t]) \quad t \in [a, b]$$

$$(\Psi(a) = \nu(\emptyset) = 0).$$

then

$\Psi$  is right-continuous on  $[a, b)$   
non-decreasing on  $[a, b]$ .

for each  $t \in (a, b]$

$$\Psi(t) - \Psi(t^-) = \nu(\{t\}).$$

$$(\Psi(t^-) = \lim_{s \nearrow t} \Psi(s)).$$

If  $\psi$  is a bdd fcn on  $[a, b]$ , then

$\psi$  is (R.I)( $\psi$ ) on  $[a, b]$  iff

$\psi$  is continuous a.e. ( $\nu$ ) on  $[a, b]$

in which case  $\psi$  is measurable  $([a, b], \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{[a, b]})$

$$\int_{[a, b]} \psi d\nu$$

$$= (R) \int_{[a, b]} \psi(t) d\psi(t).$$

(Conversely, each right cont  
non-decreasing  $\psi$  gives a  $\mu$ -measure  $\mu$  (Borel).  
We'll see this later

Pf:

— Consider  $\nu$  to be defined

on  $([a, b], \mathcal{B}_{[a, b]})$

$$\nu(P) = \nu(P \cap [a, b]) \quad P \in \mathcal{B}_{[a, b]}$$



$\Psi$  is non decreasing  $\checkmark$ .

so has at most countably many points of discontinuity.

$$\Psi(a) = v(\emptyset) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \in [a, b) \quad \Psi(s) &= v([a, s]) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow s} v([a, t]) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow s} \Psi(t). \end{aligned}$$

so  $\Psi$  is right continuous

for each  $t \in (a, b]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) - \Psi(t-) &= v([a, t]) - \lim_{s \nearrow t} v([a, s]) \\ &= \lim_{s \nearrow t} v((s, t]) \\ &= v(\{t\}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\psi$  is RI- $(\psi)$  on  $[a, b]$ .

(Claim:  $\psi$  is cont a.e.  $v$  on  $(a, b]$ ).

For each  $n \geq 1$ , choose a finite,  
non-overlapping exact cover of  $[a, b]$

$(\mathcal{C}_n)$ , by intervals  $I$  such that

$\|\mathcal{C}_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$  and s.t.  $\psi$  is cont

at  $I^- \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{C}_n$

Let  $\Delta = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{I^- : I \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$

then  $v(\Delta) = 0$ . (by cont of  $\psi$ )

Given  $m \geq 1$ , let

$\mathcal{E}_{m,n} = \left\{ I \in \mathcal{C}_n : \sup_I \psi - \inf_I \psi \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\}$

then

$\{t \in (a, b] \setminus \Delta : \psi \text{ is not cond at } t\}$

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}} I \right).$$

We halt the proof here for now and pick it up next time.

we will need

Exercise 1.2.26

If  $\psi$  is non-decreasing on  $J$

then a bdd  $\psi$  is  $RI(\psi)$  on  $J$

iff for every  $\epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$

s.t

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{C} : \sup_I \psi - \inf_I \psi \geq \epsilon} \Delta_I \psi < \epsilon.$$

whenever  $\mathcal{C}$  is finite, non-overlapping exact cover of  $J$  w/  $\| \mathcal{C} \| < \delta$ .

If  $\varphi$  is  $RI(\varphi)$  on  $J$  then given  $\epsilon > 0$

$\exists \delta > 0 = t.$

$$\|\mathcal{C}\| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} (\sup_I \varphi - \inf_I \varphi) \Delta_I \varphi \right| < \epsilon^2.$$

so, since

$$\epsilon \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{C} : \sup \varphi - \inf \varphi \geq \epsilon\}} \Delta_I \varphi \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} (\sup_I \varphi - \inf_I \varphi) \Delta_I \varphi$$

we have

$$(*) \quad \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{C} : \text{osc}(\varphi, I) \geq \epsilon\}} \Delta_I \varphi < \epsilon \quad \text{when } \|\mathcal{C}\| < \delta.$$

Conversely.

if, for each  $\epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $(*)$  holds

then since

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \text{osc}(\varphi, I) \Delta_I \varphi \leq \epsilon \Delta_J \varphi + 2\|\varphi\|_u \sum_{\{I : \text{osc}(\varphi, I) \geq \epsilon\}} \Delta_I \varphi$$

we have.  $\varphi \in RI(\varphi, [a, b])$ .