

Math 137

9/24/08.

Theorem: The Lebesgue measurable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  form a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

•  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is Lebesgue measurable iff

$\exists A \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}_\delta$  s.t.

$$A \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq B$$

$$\text{and } |B \setminus A| = 0.$$

$$(\because |A| = |B| = |\Gamma|).$$

Pf: The 1st assertion was proved last time.

If  $\Gamma$  is Lebesgue measurable we can choose  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\Gamma \subset G_n$

and  $|G_n \setminus \Gamma| < 1/n$ . Then  $B = \bigcap_n G_n$

has  $\Gamma \subseteq B$  and  $|B \setminus \Gamma| \leq |G_n \setminus \Gamma| \forall n$

so that  $|B \setminus \Gamma| = 0$ .

On the same way, choose  $B' \in \mathcal{G}_\delta$  s.t.

$$|B' \setminus \Gamma^c| = 0 \text{ and } \Gamma^c \subseteq B'.$$

Let  $A = (B')^c$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $A \subseteq \Gamma$  and

$$|\Gamma \setminus A| = |A^c \setminus \Gamma^c| = 0.$$

$$B \setminus A = B \setminus \Gamma \cup \Gamma \setminus A \quad \text{so } |B \setminus A| = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $\exists A \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}_0$  s.t.

$$A \subseteq P \subseteq B \quad \text{and} \quad |B \setminus A| = 0$$

then  $P = (P \setminus A) \cup A$

$$\text{and} \quad |P \setminus A|_e \leq |B \setminus A| = 0.$$

so  $P$  is measurable.

### Non-measurable sets

The axiom of choice:

Let  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is an indexed family of sets. There is a map

$$f: A \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$$

such that  $f(\alpha) \in X_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in A$ .

Note: The (non-empty) set of such mappings is denoted

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$$

and called the Cartesian Product of  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$

In other words: There is a set consisting of exactly one element taken from each  $X_\alpha$ .

Lemma: All  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and

$|I| > 0$  then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t

$$(-\delta, \delta) \subset I - I \equiv \{y - x : x, y \in I\}.$$

Pf: (postponed)

First let's see why the Lemma shows there are non-measurable sets.

Define an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $x \sim y$  if  $y - x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the equivalence class  $[x]^\sim$  of  $x$  is  $x + \mathbb{Q}$ , so there are uncountably many equivalence classes.

Use the axiom of choice to create a set  $A$  which contains precisely one element from each of the equivalence classes  $[x]^\sim$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $A - A \subset (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{0\})$ , so  $A - A$  can not contain  $(-\delta, \delta)$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . But we can't have  $|A - A|_c = 0$  since

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (q + A)$$

(every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is in some equivalence class,  $\therefore$  equivalent to some element of  $A$ ).

By the lemma (to be proved),  $A$  can not be measurable.

Pf: (of the lemma)

w. m. d.  $|P| < +\infty$ .

Choose  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  s.t.  $|G \setminus P| < \frac{1}{3} |P|$

and let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$  where the  $I_i$

are non-overlapping closed intervals.

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |I_i \cap P| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |I_i^\circ \cap P| = |P|$$

$$\text{and } |G| = |P| + |G \setminus P| < \frac{4}{3} |P|$$

$$\text{so that } |P| \geq \frac{3}{4} |G| = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |I_i|$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |I_i^\circ|$$

$\therefore$

$\exists i$  s.t.

$$|I_i^\circ \cap P| \geq \frac{3}{4} |I_i^\circ|$$

Let  $A = I_i^\circ \cap P$  for <sup>one</sup> ~~this~~ choice of  $i$ .

and let  $I_i = [a, b]$ .

We claim that if  $|d| > 0$  is sufficiently small, then we must have  $(d+A) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .  
(so that  $d \in A - A$ ).

With this in mind, suppose that

$$(d+A) \cap A = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$2|A| = |d+A| + |A| = |(d+A) \cup A|$$

$$\leq |(d + (a,b)) \cup (a,b)|.$$

If  $d > 0$  then

$$(d + (a,b)) \cup (a,b) \subset (a, b+d)$$

and if  $d < 0$  then

$$(a + (a,b)) \cup (a,b) \subset (a+d, b)$$

so that in either case.

$$|(d + (a,b)) \cup (a,b)| \leq (b-a) + |d|.$$

It follows that if  $(d+A) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  then

$$\frac{6}{4}(b-a) \leq 2|A| \leq (b-a) + |d|$$

from which we have  $|d| \geq \frac{b-a}{2}$ .

$$\therefore |d| < \frac{b-a}{2} \Rightarrow (d+A) \cap A \neq \emptyset$$

i.e.  $A - A$  contains  $(-\frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2})$ .  $\square$

Theorem: Assuming the axiom of choice, every  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\Gamma|_e > 0$  contains a non-measurable subset.

Pf: Let  $A$  be the non-measurable set constructed earlier. Then

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \Gamma \cap (q+A) \quad \text{and}$$

$$0 < |\Gamma|_e \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} |\Gamma \cap (q+A)|_e$$

There must be a  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  s.t.

$$|\Gamma \cap (q+A)|_e > 0, \quad \text{but}$$

by the previous lemma,

$\Gamma \cap (q+A)$  can't be measurable  
since its difference set contains  
no interval.  $\blacksquare$

- Measurable functions, equivalence of def'n's.
- measurability of  
 $f+c, cf, f+g, f-g, fg.$